



SPECIALIST PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
ZYRA E PROKURORIT TË SPECIALIZUAR
SPECIJALIZOVANO TUŽILAŠTVO

In: KSC-BC-2020-06
Specialist Prosecutor v. Hashim Thaçi, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep Selimi and Jakup Krasniqi

Before: Trial Panel II
Judge Charles L. Smith, III, Presiding Judge
Judge Christoph Barthe
Judge Guénaél Mettraux
Judge Fergal Gaynor, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Prosecutor's Office

Date: 15 May 2024

Language: English

Classification: Public

Prosecution submission of proposed lesser redacted transcripts (W04147)

with confidential Annex 1

Specialist Prosecutor's Office

Kimberly P. West

Counsel for Victims

Simon Laws

Registry

Witness Protection and Support Office

Counsel for Hashim Thaçi

Luka Mišetić

Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Ben Emmerson

Counsel for Rexhep Selimi

Geoffrey Roberts

Counsel for Jakup Krasniqi

Venkateswari Alagenda

1. Pursuant to the Oral Order¹ and following receipt of the relevant official transcripts, the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ('SPO') sent *inter partes* the proposed lesser redacted transcripts for all private session testimony of W04147. On 3 May 2024, Victims' Counsel sent his response agreeing with the SPO's proposed redactions, and proposing additional redactions to excerpts of W04147's testimony. On the same day, the Defence teams sent a response proposing to lift certain redactions to W04147's testimony. On 6 May 2024, the Witness Protection and Support Office ('WPSO') sent its response agreeing with the SPO's redactions and proposing additional redactions to the testimony of W04147.
2. The SPO has consolidated all proposed redactions and related justifications in Annex 1.²
3. Annex 1 is confidential in accordance with Rule 82(4) and to give effect to existing protective measures.

Word count: 242



Kimberly P. West

Specialist Prosecutor

Wednesday, 15 May 2024

At The Hague, the Netherlands.

¹ Transcript, 7 November 2023, pp.9444-9446 ('Oral Order') (ordering, *inter alia*, the Parties and participants to engage *inter partes* in the review of private session testimony and propose lesser redacted versions of the official transcripts within thirty days).

² The SPO's proposals are marked in red in Annex 1, WPSO's proposals are marked in blue, Victims' Counsel proposals are marked in green. The Defence proposals to lift redactions are not marked in the transcripts, but instead are annexed to the relevant consolidated justifications. Unless otherwise indicated in the relevant submissions in Annex 1, the SPO does not object to the proposals of Victims' Counsel, the Defence and WPSO.